

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mn

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/730,826	12/07/2000	Natascha Kearsey	19111.0045	8609
68009 RINGHAM M	7590 05/23/2007 CCUTCHEN LLP		EXAM	INER
BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN, LLP 2020 K STREET, NW			RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
BOX IP WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,		2164	
				DEL HIEDVA MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/730,826	KEARSEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sam Rimell	2164			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 €	<u> 1arch 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
		Sapel			
Attachment(s)		SAM RIMELL			
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	PRIMARY EXAMINER (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2164

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Witkowski et al. (U.S. Patent 6,345,272).

<u>Claim 1:</u> Reference is made to FIG. 2, and its associated discussion at col. 3, line 66 through col. 4, line 30.

Fig. 2 illustrates both queries and data within a database. The database includes two detail tables. The first table is the "Table Sales" 250. The second table is the summary table called "Sum_Sales" created by the query 270. A computer processor will inherently process queries on the tables.

In the operation of the system of FIG. 2, the processor will receive the first query 210. The input is analyzed and a determination is made to create the second query 280, which requires a joining of the data in the two tables (table 250 and the summary table called "Sum_Sales"). Both the first and second queries involve aggregation steps (the function "SUM").

The processor modifies the first query (210) to create the second query (280).

Within the second query (280), an aggregation step is performed on each of the two tables. The aggregation step "SUM (\$AMT)" in the subquery 270 performs an aggregation on the \$AMT column of the first table 250. This creates the second table "Sum_Sales". The second table is then introduced into the modified query (280) and the aggregation step SUM

Art Unit: 2164

(SUM_SALES) is then performed on the "region" column of the second table. Thus, the second query performs aggregations steps on two different tables.

The aggregated data from the two tables are then joined into a single table in the query (280). The query (280) is a SQL query. The single resulting table will list each region and the total sales within that region for the calendar year 1998.

Claim 2: The second query (280) will aggregate data from two different tables. The SUM function performs the aggregation. SUM (\$AMT) performs the first aggregation in the subquery (270). SUM (SUM_SALES) performs the second aggregation on the second table.

<u>Claim 3:</u> The generation of the table SUM_SALES is considered an in-line view. The data location which stores that view is readable as a "complex folder".

<u>Claim 4:</u> The aggregation steps are summations of data.

<u>Claim 5:</u> The processor which processes the queries inherently includes an input device, such as a keyboard. Otherwise, no query could be generated.

<u>Claim 6:</u> The list of possible items are created by the SELECT functions in each of the queries (210) and (280). The actions performed are the individual commands with the queries, such as the command to perform a summation function ("SUM").

<u>Claim 7:</u> Each of the queries (210) and (280) are SQL standard queries using SQL language. Commands such as SELECT and SUM are standard SQL commands.

Claim 8: Query (280) requires two aggregation steps on two different tables. The first aggregation step occurs in the subquery (270) on table (250). The aggregation command is "SUM (\$AMT)". The second aggregation step occurs in the query (280) on the summary table SUM SALES. The aggregation command is "SUM (SUM SALES)".

Art Unit: 2164

<u>Claim 9:</u> See remarks for claim 1. The database processor and the processor of the query are the same processor.

<u>Claim 10:</u> The database processor and the processor of the query are the same.

Claim 11: See remarks for claim 2.

Claim 12: See remarks for claim 3.

Claim 13: See remarks for claim 4.

Claim 14: See remarks for claim 5.

Claim 15: See remarks for claim 6.

Claim 16: See remarks for claims 1 and 3.

Remarks

Applicant's arguments and amendments have been considered.

Applicant's arguments on pages 2-3 are mere characterizations of the examiner's office action without any specific consideration of the actual claim language under rejection. These arguments are noted, but without any actual assertions of specific claim language distinguishing from the Witkowski reference, these arguments are moot.

On page 4, second paragraph, applicant asserts that Witkowski does not perform a SQL join operation. Claim 1 makes mention of joining at three different lines, so it is not clear which of the lines of claim language are actually being traversed. Lacking any further indication, it is presumed for purposes of examination that applicant's arguments are directed to the last

Art Unit: 2164

paragraph, first line of claim 1. Presuming the argument is directed to this feature, the argument is incorrect.

Within the second query (280) of Witkowski, an aggregation step is performed on each of the two tables. The aggregation step "SUM (\$AMT)" in the subquery 270 performs an aggregation on the \$AMT column of the first table 250. This creates the second table "Sum_Sales". The second table is then introduced into the modified query (280) and the aggregation step SUM (SUM_SALES) is then performed on the "region" column of the second table. Thus, the second query performs aggregations steps on two different tables.

The aggregated data from the two tables are then joined into a single table in the query (280). The query (280) is a SQL query. The single resulting table will list each region and the total sales within that region for the calendar year 1998.

Applicant's assertion that the Witkowski SQL queries only access one single table are directed contradicted by the multiple table invocations within FIG. 2. Accordingly, this argument is not correct.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/730,826 Page 6

Art Unit: 2164

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (571) 272-4084.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2164